

# Supporting Information File 1

for

## Real-time monitoring of calcium carbonate and cationic peptide deposition on carboxylate-SAM using a microfluidic SAW biosensor

Anna Pohl<sup>1,2</sup> and Ingrid M. Weiss<sup>\*,1</sup>

Address: <sup>1</sup>INM – Leibniz Institute for New Materials, Campus D2 2, 66123 Saarbrücken, Germany and <sup>2</sup>Saarland University, Campus D2 2, 66123 Saarbrücken, Germany

Email: Ingrid M. Weiss - [ingrid.weiss@inm-gmbh.de](mailto:ingrid.weiss@inm-gmbh.de)

\*Corresponding author

### Experimental Details

**Table S1: Sequence of injections on channel 1.** Calcium carbonate interaction with COO-chip.

Order	Running buffer	Injection solution	Concentration	Volume	Flow rate	Channel				Time between injections
						1	2	3	4	
1.	H <sub>2</sub> O	CaCO <sub>3</sub> in H <sub>2</sub> O	17.5 μmol/L	400 μL	40 μL/min	X				5 minutes
2.	H <sub>2</sub> O	CaCO <sub>3</sub> in H <sub>2</sub> O	35 μmol/L	400 μL	40 μL/min	X				5 minutes
3.	H <sub>2</sub> O	CaCO <sub>3</sub> in H <sub>2</sub> O	70 μmol/L	400 μL	40 μL/min	X				5 minutes
4.	H <sub>2</sub> O	CaCO <sub>3</sub> in H <sub>2</sub> O	105 μmol/L	400 μL	40 μL/min	X				5 minutes
5.	H <sub>2</sub> O	CaCO <sub>3</sub> in H <sub>2</sub> O	140 μmol/L	400 μL	40 μL/min	X				5 minutes

**Table S2: Calcium carbonate interaction with COO-SAM sensor chip at different flow rates.** All injections were performed with 140 μmol/L calcium carbonate in pure water.

Order	Running buffer	Injection solution	Concentration	Volume	Flow rate	Channel				Time between injections
						1	2	3	4	
1.	H <sub>2</sub> O	CaCO <sub>3</sub> in H <sub>2</sub> O	140 μmol/L	400 μL	200 μL/min	X				5 minutes
2.	H <sub>2</sub> O	CaCO <sub>3</sub> in H <sub>2</sub> O	140 μmol/L	400 μL	100 μL/min	X				5 minutes
3.	H <sub>2</sub> O	CaCO <sub>3</sub> in H <sub>2</sub> O	140 μmol/L	400 μL	50 μL/min	X				5 minutes
4.	H <sub>2</sub> O	CaCO <sub>3</sub> in H <sub>2</sub> O	140 μmol/L	400 μL	25 μL/min	X				5 minutes
5.	H <sub>2</sub> O	CaCO <sub>3</sub> in H <sub>2</sub> O	140 μmol/L	400 μL	12.5 μL/min	X				5 minutes

**Table S3: The running buffer was a 140 μmol/L solution of calcium carbonate in pure water.** Different volumes of citric acid (1 mM) were injected as well as pure water. All experiments were performed on the same channel of the biosensor.

Order	Running buffer	Injection solution	Concentration	Volume	Flow rate	Channel				Time between injections
						1	2	3	4	
1	CaCO <sub>3</sub> in H <sub>2</sub> O	Citric acid	1 mM	100 μL	50 μL/min	X				5 minutes
2	CaCO <sub>3</sub> in H <sub>2</sub> O	Citric acid	1 mM	200 μL	50 μL/min	X				5 minutes
3	CaCO <sub>3</sub> in H <sub>2</sub> O	Citric acid	1 mM	400 μL	50 μL/min	X				5 minutes
4	CaCO <sub>3</sub> in H <sub>2</sub> O	Pure water	-	100 μL	50 μL/min	X				5 minutes
5	CaCO <sub>3</sub> in H <sub>2</sub> O	Pure water	-	200 μL	50 μL/min	X				5 minutes
6	CaCO <sub>3</sub> in H <sub>2</sub> O	Pure water	-	400 μL	50 μL/min	X				5 minutes

**Table S4: Experiment with peptides ES9 and AS8 in Gly-Gly buffer at different pH-values.** Exactly the same order was maintained for all experiments performed in Gly-Gly pH 7.75 (channel 2), Gly-Gly pH 8.2 (channel 1) or, Gly-Gly 9.0 (channel 3). Peptide solutions with  $c(\text{ES9})$  or  $c(\text{AS8}) = 200 \mu\text{M}$  in 20 mM Gly-Gly were used.  $c$ , concentration;  $V$ , injection volume;  $\Delta t$ , time interval between injections, for which the microfluidic system was allowed to equilibrate using a Gly-Gly running buffer of the respective pH.

Order	Running buffer	Injection solution	c [mM]	V [ $\mu\text{L}$ ]	Flow rate [ $\mu\text{L}/\text{min}$ ]	Channel				$\Delta t$ [min]
						1	2	3	4	
1	Gly-Gly	EDTA	10	200	40	pH 8.2	pH 7.75	pH 9.0		5
2	Gly-Gly	Gly-Gly	20	200	40	pH 8.2	pH 7.75	pH 9.0		2
3	Gly-Gly	EDTA	10	200	40	pH 8.2	pH 7.75	pH 9.0		5
4	Gly-Gly	ES9	0.2	200	40	pH 8.2	pH 7.75	pH 9.0		2
5	Gly-Gly	EDTA	10	200	40	pH 8.2	pH 7.75	pH 9.0		5
6	Gly-Gly	AS8	0.2	200	40	pH 8.2	pH 7.75	pH 9.0		2